

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/652,607	08/30/2000	Margaret Gwyn Latimer	14377.1 3027		
7:	590 07/17/2002				
James B Robinson			EXAMINER		
401 North Lake			PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C		
Neenah, WI 5	4957-0349		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			1771	3	
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002	DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002	

1.58

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4 - 1	Mail		ME 3			
		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
		09/652,607	LATIMER ET AL.			
	Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
		Christopher C. Pratt	1771			
Peridf	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	rresp ndence address			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repliperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 /	<u> August 2000</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
· <u> </u>						
•	Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· _	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	or election requirement.				
9)□ 7	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
<i>,</i> —	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •				
Attachment	•					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/652,607

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Periers (41117333).

Periers is concerned with the creation of a corrugated nonwoven web. Periers teaches said web to be composed of 100% fusible fibers (col. 3, line 63 and col. 8, lines 50-60). Said web corrugated to produce folds and bonded such that no gaps are present between said folds (fig. 1, col. 5, lines 35-65, and col. 6, lines 12-17).

Periers teaches said web to be bonded to a textile support (col. 7, lines 1-3 and col. 8, lines 57-59). Periers does not seem to teach the specific structure of the textile support. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize a nonwoven, woven, knit, tissue, or paper support. Such a modification would have been motivated by the desire to utilize the most common structures of textiles, which would provide the corrugated web with sufficient support.

Said folds of uniform height (fig. 1).

3. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Periers (4111733) in view of Huntoon et al (5906879).

Periers does not seem to teach the use of a superabsorbent fibers or folds of non-uniform height.

Huntoon's patent is drawn to the formation of a corrugated nonwoven web.

Huntoon teaches the use of superabsorbent fibers (col. 7, line 16) and folds of non-uniform height (fig. 3b). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to add superabsorbent fibers and non-uniform corrugations to the web of Periers. Such a combination would have been motivated by the desire to increase the absorptive capacity of Periers web and to achieve barrier properties which would inhibit fluid flow (col. 8, lines 44-50).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chien et al (5558924) teaches elements of applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Pratt whose telephone number is 703-305-6559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/652,607

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Christopher C. Pratt

July 15, 2002

CHERYX A JUSKA

Page 4